What to do about salinity when a Assimilating XBT data?

Results for estimating salinity in the Gulf of Mexico and in the Northwestern Atlantic Ocean I'm Carlisle Thacker

and I approve of this message.

What to do when temperature is observed, but density is important?

- When XBT data are assimilated, salinity must be corrected along with temperature.
- Incorrect salinity causes incorrect density and currents.
- How to correct salinity without observing salinity?

Two regions as examples.

- Gulf of Mexico
 - Loop current, eddies.
 - Broad shelves with deep central basin.
 - River inflow.
 - Many bad data.
- Large North Atlantic region containing the Gulf Stream
 - Very large T and S variability.
 - Shelf in north but mostly deep ocean.
 - Gulf Stream inflow.
 - Few bad data.

Gulf of Mexico

3485 CTD stations – many redundant.

Most stations in shallow water. Few in south.

No problem.

Sub-sampled to avoid near duplicates.

- 739 stations used.



Problems with archived data:

• Sampling is not uniform.

- Local high-density sampling.
- Few samples in south.
- Some data are bad.

– Flags are not very helpful.

- Distributions are not Gaussian.
 - How to distinguish bad data from heavy tails?
 - Box and whisker plots are helpful.
 - TS plots also show outliers.

A first look at the 3489 CTD profiles for Gulf of Mexico.



Distributions of T and S data in 20 dbar intervals.

pressure range (dbar)



Warm outliers between 180 dbar and 200 dbar Mostly good loop-current data.



Some profiles have density inversions

37 with inversion greater than 0.01 kg/m³ $\,$





TS plots training + verification data

- Data interpolated at 25 dbar pressure intervals.
- Mean T vs. mean S at all levels indicated in red on each panel.
- Warm-salty Loop Current values are not on mean TS curve.



725 CTD stations



rms prediction error (psu)

Skill explaining independent data

Estimated and observed salinity robust parabola







Models derived from CTD data are considerably more accurate than those inferred from climatology.



How good is density?

Might want better near the surface.

Get same accuracy with regression models for density.

Northwest Atlantic





Distributions of CTD observations in NW Atlantic



1390 stations with long profiles in northwestern Atlantic





Training and verification stations



Skill explaining independent data







NW models applied to SE data



SE sub-region

Skill explaining independent SE data

T temperature λ longitude ϕ latitude d day-of-year





Regression beats Navy's MODAS system in Gulf Stream triangle.

Best regression model for NW sub-region (Gulf Stream and its eddies) $P_4(T) + P_4(d) + P_1(\mathcal{P})$

4th degree in temperature 4thin day of year 1st in longitude



RMS errors for AOML (red) and MODAS (black) estimates of salinity in the Gulf Stream triangle

Except near the surface regression beats Navy's MODAS system in Sargasso Sea triangle.

Best regression model for SE sub-region (Sargasso Sea) $P_2(T) + P_1(\mathcal{O})$

2nd degree in temperature 1st in latitude and longitude





Regression beats Navy's MODAS system in Gulf of Mexico.

Best regression model for Gulf of Mexico $P_4(T)$ 4th degree in temperature



Conclusions:

- Regression beats using climatological T and S.
- Can handle fronts.
- Where to draw regional boundaries?
- Accurate near-surface estimates are difficult.
- Can use to check salinity calibration in CTD archives.
- Can also check ARGO float calibration.
- Big ocean still lots of work to do.
- Can use MODAS until more regions are modeled.
- MODAS is being reworked.